

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	MED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
10/759,945	01/16/2004	Jos Huybrechts	FA1105USNA	8234	
23906 E I DII PONT	7590 02/20/200 DE NEMOURS AND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1122B 4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805			WU, I	WU, IVES J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797	1797	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/20/2008	FLECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-Legal.PRC@usa.dupont.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/759,945	HUYBRECHTS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
IVES WU	1797	

	IVES WU	1797							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
I. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CF4 1.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding encount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding encount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee house 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all									
non-allowable claim(s). No for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the provided in the state of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1:13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).						
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•							
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		condition for allowan	oc because.						
13. Other:									
/Duane S. Smith/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797	2-12-08								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As to argument that prior reference Sampson (et al (US03892714) does not even make the slightest suggestion that at least one cycloaliphatic ester of a free-radically copolymerizable olefinically unsaturated carboxylic acid is included to develop such coatings that possessed both excellent drying properties and hardness development (pages 5 & 8). However, Sampson et al (US03892714) does disclose the general formula CH2=C<, which includes the species of cycloaliphatic structure monomers.

As to the argument that Sampson et al (US03892714) fails to beach the limitation of instant claim 1 requiring the (meth)accylic copolymer to be "free of epoxy-functional free radically copolymerizable olefinically saturated monomers". Since the disclosure of Sampson et al (US03892714) meets the limitation of component A) and B) as well as the process in instant claim 1, the coating composition of Sampson et al (US03892714) would inherently possess this property of being free of poxy-functional free radically copolymerizable olefinically saturated monomers. In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).

As to the argument that Sampson et al (US03892714) fail to disclose the percentage of each components in instant claim 2 based on the Examples of Sampson et al (US03892714) only disclose three-component (meth)acrylic copolymer compounds such a specific combination of four distinct components is not even remotely suggested by this reference. However, the broad disclosure of Sampson et al (US03892714) teaches more than 3 components "reacting an ethylenically unsaturated hydroxyl-containing monomer with one or more ethylenically unsaturated momenters to form a copolymer containing hydroxyl side groups (Co. 2, line 1-4), Disclosed examples and preferred embodiments do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or nonpreferred embodiments. In re Susi, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPO 423 (CCPA 1971).

As to the argument that the Thomas et al (US06130286A) disclose different modification/polymerization process as such, one skilled in the art would not be motivated to combine Thomas's et al (US06130286A) teaching with Sampson et al (US03892714). However, the teaching of cycloalkyl acrylate by Thomas et al (US06130286) is where the combined teaching relied on and its advantages is disclosed in the art as well. One can not show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. In Re Keller, 642 F.24 413, 208 USPO 871 (CCP4 1981).

Therefore, the Final rejection for claims 1-13 in the Office Action dated 11/28/2007 is sustained.